

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R § 1.111  
U.S. SERIAL NO.: 09/803,950  
ATTY. DOCKET NO.: Q63572

application on each monitor or one application can be expanded to multiple monitor displays (citing col. 4, lines 6-40 and Fig. 1). As such, the grounds of rejection state that it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the portable terminal with the multiple separate display devices of Sall in the personal computer of Murray to increase the size of the display area in order to provide more information to the user (citing Sall col. 1, lines 25-28 and col. 4, lines 47-50). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

First, Applicants note that Murray teaches away from the display or more information as suggested for the motivation by the Examiner. In particular, Murray teaches the use markers, tool tips, etc. that are used to minimize the information on the displayed screen (see e.g., col. 9, lines 33-49; col. 10, lines 8-45). As such, Murray teaches away from the use of multiple screens.

Next, the independent claims recite a feature whereby information that is received may include identification codes, and that the information is displayed on the plural, physically separate display means based on the identification codes. In Sall, there is no suggestion of displaying information on particular displays based on a code in the information. Rather, the display of the information on one or more display devices is based on the decision of a computer user. In fact, it is the computer user who has to also physically manipulate the display devices into a viewable position when the user intends to use more than one display device. As such, display of information on particular display means is not based on identification codes in Sall, but rather by user choice. As such, Applicants respectfully submit that the combination of

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R § 1.111  
U.S. SERIAL NO.: 09/803,950  
ATTY. DOCKET NO.: Q63572

Murray and Sall related to this feature is based on impermissible hindsight, and is not taught or suggested by either reference.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Ronald Kimble  
Registration No. 44,186

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

**23373**

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: June 28, 2006